

**KRONENBERGER BURGOYNE, LLP**  
Karl S. Kronenberger (Bar No. 226112)  
James V. Weixel, Jr. (Bar No. 166024)  
150 Post Street, Suite 520  
San Francisco, CA 94108  
Telephone: (415) 955-1155  
Facsimile: (415) 955-1158  
karl@KBInternetLaw.com  
jim@KBInternetLaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
I.E.I. Company Limited and International Education, Inc.

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
OAKLAND DIVISION**

**I.E.I. COMPANY LIMITED**, a Thailand  
corporation; **INTERNATIONAL  
EDUCATION, INC.**, a Seychelles  
corporation,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

**ADVANCE CULTURAL EXCHANGE  
TRAINING CORPORATION**, a California  
corporation, initially named herein as  
"Advance Cultural Education and Training  
Corporation"; **NAPAT VORAPUVADOL**,  
a/k/a Nawachol Boontham, an individual;  
**NARIN NATHRADOL**, a/k/a Monnipa  
Komolketruck, an individual; **SUPAWADEE  
POONDEJ**, a/k/a Supavadee Poondej, an  
individual; **DOES 1 through 10, inclusive**,

Defendants.

Case No. 4:09-CV-05079 PJH

**STIPULATION FOR LEAVE TO FILE  
SECOND AMENDED VERIFIED  
COMPLAINT AND FOR EXTENSION  
OF TIME TO RESPOND THERETO**

~~[Proposed]~~ **ORDER ON  
STIPULATION AND CONTINUING  
DEADLINES RE INITIAL  
DISCLOSURES**

1 Plaintiffs I.E.I. Company Limited and International Education, Inc. ("plaintiffs")  
2 and defendants Advance Cultural Exchange Training Corporation ("ACET"),  
3 Supawadee Poondej ("Poondej"), Napat Vorapuvadol ("Vorapuvadol") and Narin  
4 Nathradol ("Nathradol") (collectively "defendants") by and through their undersigned  
5 counsel, stipulate and agree as follows:

6 1. Pursuant to Rule 15(a)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure,  
7 defendants stipulate and agree that plaintiffs may file a Second Amended Verified  
8 Complaint on or before January 11, 2010,

9 2. Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 6-2, plaintiffs and defendants stipulate and  
10 agree that defendants shall have up to and including February 12, 2010 in which to  
11 answer, move, or otherwise respond to the Second Amended Verified Complaint,  
12 including but not limited to grounds based on personal jurisdiction and/or venue, if any.

13 3. This stipulation is made upon the following grounds and with the following  
14 facts in mind:

15 (a) This stipulation has been agreed to by the parties due to the fact that (1)  
16 plaintiffs wish to amend the pleadings to state a Lanham Act claim arising from  
17 defendants' alleged use of plaintiffs' names and marks in the matters alleged in the  
18 complaint; and (2) defendants ACET, Poondej, Vorapuvadol and Nathradol have  
19 recently secured representation in this matter and wish to enter an appearance herein,  
20 and their counsel will require additional time in which to investigate the matter and  
21 prepare a response to the Second Amended Verified Complaint. Said counsel will also  
22 be transitioning to a new law firm at the beginning of the new year. This stipulation will  
23 allow for the accomplishment of the parties' objectives in those respects.

24 (b) There have been no previous time modifications in this case, whether by  
25 stipulation or Court order.

26 (c) The stipulated extension of time for defendants' response to the  
27 complaint, if agreed to by the Court, would result in the deadline for defendants'  
28 response to the Second Amended Verified Complaint to be extended past several

1 currently set deadlines relating to the case management conference and the parties'  
2 Rule 26 initial disclosures. The deadlines most likely to be affected by this extension  
3 are as follows:

4 (1) January 7, 2010: Last court day for parties to confer re Rule 26 initial  
5 disclosures and to file and serve ADR certification;

6 (2) January 21, 2010: Last court day to file case management statement and  
7 Rule 26(f) report; and

8 (3) January 28, 2010, 2:00 p.m.: Initial case management conference.

9 In light of the matters covered by this stipulation and the extensions and leave  
10 agreed to herein, the parties respectfully suggest to the Court that the above deadlines,  
11 as well as any other appropriate deadlines, should be extended accordingly to such  
12 dates as the Court may find are appropriate under the circumstances.

13 Respectfully submitted,

14 Dated: December 30, 2009

**KRONENBERGER BURGOYNE, LLP**

15  
16 By: /s/ James V. Weixel, Jr.  
Karl S. Kronenberger  
James V. Weixel, Jr.

17  
18 Attorneys for Plaintiffs,  
19 I.E.I. COMPANY LIMITED and  
20 INTERNATIONAL EDUCATION, INC.

21 Dated: December 30, 2009

**ROBINS, KAPLAN, MILLER & CIRESI L.L.P.**

22  
23 By: /s/ Robert F. Hinton (per consent)  
Robert F. Hinton

24  
25 Attorney for Defendants, ADVANCE  
26 CULTURAL EXCHANGE TRAINING  
27 CORPORATION, SUPAWADEE POONDEJ,  
28 NAPAT VORAPUVADOL and NARIN  
NATHRADOL

**ORDER**

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS ORDERED:

1. Plaintiffs may file a Second Amended Verified Complaint on or before January 11, 2010.

2. Defendants shall answer, move, or otherwise respond to the Second Amended Verified Complaint on or before February 12, 2010.

3. The deadline for the parties' submission of Rule 26 initial disclosures and case management statements, currently January 21, 2010, is continued to March 4, 2010. All deadlines and other requirements imposed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, local rule, standing order of this Court, or otherwise are continued accordingly and shall be calculated in accordance with the new date.

4. The initial case management conference in this matter, currently scheduled for 2:00 p.m. on January 28, 2010, is continued to March 11, 2010, at 2:00 p.m. All deadlines and other requirements imposed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, local rule, standing order of this Court, or otherwise are continued and shall be calculated in accordance with the new date.

Dated: January <sup>13</sup>\_\_\_\_, 2010

